

Notes form CPC planning 4.8.25

Local Plan Response.

At previous meeting CPC (planning) decided that Option 3 was our most sensible reply to TV. The proposal to build 65 houses but with conditions.

We do not have a crystal ball to know what the political landscape will be like in 5 to 10 years and therefore we consider a measured and pragmatic response to be the best one.

Chilbolton NP includes the policy to build 20 affordable and downsize houses.

The pre app proposes 25 houses (20 plus 5 self- build plots)

CPC will be asking TV if they have done a full survey of any brownfield sites in the area and also whether any possible windfall sites would be taken into account, both of which could come into play when assessing numbers.

It is proposed that there will be a presentation on 21st with an opportunity to view documents on CPC website beforehand.

Questions about numbers and phasing of houses in relation to Trajectory Plan.page 5. CPC would suggest that after first 20 already proposed the rest should be at 7 year intervals until 2042.

It was said that a 7 year phase should not be suggested and that it should be left 'open' until 2042. However several people want to reject anything beyond the 20 already proposed. They were reminded that any more would have to comply with housing need as per the NP.

However anything less than the 65 within the 17 year plan would of course leave the village open to speculative development and mean that the village would have absolutely no control of any future development.

Test Valley's infrastructure document refers to facilities and contributions to things such as GP practices, schools and sewerage (Barton Stacey) . CPC considers that Chilbolton should be included in these considerations. CPC will ask if any specific reports on infrastructure have been done for other villages. If so we would like to see the reports.

If the village does not want more than the 20 +5 houses then how can the scheme be considered to being community led? AS TV has asked specifically that project should be community led then this could be a counter argument to TV against more than the 20 +5 houses.

A question was asked about the new NP. This has not yet been started but when it is then obviously serious consideration will have to be given to new policies.

Ecology, nutrient neutrality and biodiversity net gain all have to be considered and could be used as an argument against excessive development.

CPC have so far had 19 responses to the New Local Plan spread over 6 separate areas. See chart attached to previous minutes.

