

Response to consultation

There are several options:

		Comments	
1	No comment.	No comment is inappropriate as CPC have already submitted a Pre App request on the proposed site for 25 homes.	
2	Agreement without conditions	Agreement without conditions is inappropriate in view of the strong feelings of residents that development must follow proven housing need and that utilities, especially sewerage is upgraded to match demand.	
3	Agreement with conditions	The site proposed by TVBC is the preferred site selected by the SWG and subsequently used by CPC in various presentations and the Pre App for 25 houses submitted in April 2025. (No report received yet). Further development must be supported by proven housing need, possibly in 2 phases over the years following the initial 25. Sewerage, water, electricity, footpaths and roads must be provided with the houses. Generally, it is considered better to engage with the TVBC proposals to get the best outcome for parishioners than to fight them head on.	
4	Objection	Some residents might support this option. However, there is a proven need for affordable and downsize houses per the Neighbourhood Plan, two independent housing need surveys, actual waiting lists held by TVBC and CPC, and an unquantified need for starter homes and shared ownership homes. Given the proven need, the Pre App submitted, and the new government policy, it would probably be better to promote the houses that are needed per the Pre App and to the standards in the NP rather than risk speculative development that would be unlikely to meet with residents needs and desires.	
5	Alternative proposal(s)	CPC unanimously approved the Pre App on the site proposed by TVBC. Residents might propose different house numbers and alternative sites. The probability of success with alternative proposals seems unlikely given the concern of borough councillors regarding the risk of speculative development and the wish to get the new LP in place before the local government changes.	

Annexures

A Map 18